IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Case No. : C.W.P.No.5456 of 2007
Date of Decision : April 23, 2007.

Harpret Kaur .... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others .... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE J.S.KHEHAR.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE L.N.MITTAL.

* * *

Present : Mr.S.S.Behl, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.B.S.Chahal, AAG, Punjab
for the respondents.

* * *

J.S.KHEHAR, J. (Oral) :

Learned counsel for the respondents states that after the receipt of representations from those, whose names did not figure in the merit list, the claim of the aggrieved candidates was considered, whereupon those wrongfully overlooked were accommodated and in the aforesaid process, in the revised merit list prepared, the last candidate selected for appointment against the post of Physical Training Instructor (PTI) from the Female (General) Category has 57.678 marks as against the petitioner who has 57.361 marks. It is also the contention of the learned counsel for the respondents that no candidate, whatsoever, having marks less than the petitioner, has been appointed.

In view of the above, it is apparent that the claim made by the

petitioner is misconceived and is liable to be declined. The instant writ petition is accordingly dismissed. Be that as it may, in case the factual position projected by the learned counsel for the respondents, is found to be incorrect, it will be open to the petitioner, to revive the instant writ petition by moving a Civil Miscellaneous application.

Disposed of accordingly.

(J.S.KHEHAR)
JUDGE

April 23, 2007
monika

(L.N.MITTAL)
JUDGE